

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Alex Kennett](#); [Mike Flaughner](#); [Helen Chapman](#); [Dorsey Moore](#); [Vicki Alexander](#); [Mike Potter](#); [Kalvin Gill](#); [Clerk DL](#); [Kellie Guerra](#); [Caroline Hernandez](#); [Kimi Shigetani](#); [Chris Chaffee](#)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Redistricting Draft Plan C3  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 6, 2022 7:18:51 PM

---

Dear Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority Directors:

Although redistricting Draft Plan C3 features a number of improvements, I cannot support Plan C3 as is.

San Jose's Japantown area, which at present is completely in District 3, would be divided between Districts 3 and 6.

At present San José State University's main campus and South Campus are both in District 6; with Plan C3 the main campus is in District 6 while the South Campus is in District 7.

With census designated place Burbank completely in District 4, it seems that the present area of the Willow Glen Neighborhood Association (WGNA) could be completely in District 5; however, the present area of the WGNA is divided between Districts 4 and 5 with Plan C3.

In 2016 District 4 incumbent Dorsey Moore was challenged by then District 6 San José City Councilmember Pierluigi Oliverio. Basically Councilmember Oliverio won the Willow Glen portion of District 4 while incumbent Moore won in the non-Willow Glen portion of District 4. Incumbent Moore was reelected in 2016.

Based on the above information it doesn't seem inappropriate to have the WGNA area of the present District 4 in a future District 5.

The division between Districts 1 and 7 could be better; for one, the Evergreen School District portion of District 1 with Plan C3 could instead remain in District 7.

Plan C3 should not be approved as is.

I hope to provide a presentation regarding my submitted post-March 10 SCVOSA redistricting plans by the end of the weekend.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Nakamura

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Andrea Mackenzie](#); [Matt Freeman](#); [Lea Rauscher](#); [Marc Landgraf](#); [Derek Neumann](#); [Donna Plunkett](#); [Alex Kennett](#); [Mike Flaugh](#); [Helen Chapman](#); [Dorsey Moore](#); [Vicki Alexander](#); [Mike Potter](#); [Kalvin Gill](#); [Clerk DL](#); [Kellie Guerra](#); [Caroline Hernandez](#); [Kimi Shigetani](#); [Chris Chaffee](#); [cskinnell@nmgovlaw.com](mailto:cskinnell@nmgovlaw.com)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Incorrect Maps for Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority Redistricting Plans  
**Date:** Thursday, April 7, 2022 10:17:15 AM

---

Dear Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority Leadership Team Members, Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority Board Members, and Deputy Clerk of the Board Caroline Hernandez:

The posted zoomable maps, as well as the posted PDF file maps, for my Plans 1B1, 1B2, 2B1, 2B2, 2C1, 2C2, 2E1, 2E2, 3A1, and 3A2 (ten plans) do not accurately represent these plans.

#### List of Map Errors (Not Necessarily a Complete List)

The maps for the ten plans all have block 2006 of census tract (CT) 5035.11 in District 7 rather than in District 6; block 2006 of CT 5035.11 should be in District 6, not in District 7. Plan 2C2 also has block 2007 of CT 5035.11 in District 7; this block should also be in District 6.

For nine of the ten plans (except for Plan 2C2) the block 2006 error mentioned above causes District 6 to be a non-continuous district.

In the online map for Plan 2B2 block 2007 of CT 5120.19 is shown to be part of District 5; it should be in District 1. Block 3066 of CT 5118.00 should be in District 5 and not in District 1.

Plan 3A1 map, block 2002 of CT 5119.15 should not be in District 1; it should be in District 5.

In the online maps for one or more plans District 3 may seem to extend into Milpitas. For all ten plans all of Milpitas should be in District 2.

The maps for Plans 1B2 and 2E1 seem to have a finger of District 3 extending along Montague Expressway east of Interstate 880. This finger area should be in District 2.

In the online map for each of Plans 2B1, 2B2, and 2E1 a District 5 finger seems to go between Districts 6 and 7 along Almaden Expressway. There shouldn't be a District 5 finger such as this.

The online map for each of 2B1 and 2B2 have an East Capitol Expressway District 7 finger into District 5 and District 1, respectively. The finger area should be in District 5 and 1, respectively.

Maps for Plans 2C1, 2C2, and 2E1, there seems to be a District 7 finger "within" District 5, District 1, and District 5, respectively, at West Capitol Expressway. This finger area with Plans 2C1 and 2E1 should be in District 5 (with Plan 2C2 in District 1).

It seems that all of these maps may not correctly represent the districts in easternmost Santa Clara County.

For Plan 1B1 the easternmost portion of District 1 may not be correctly represented. The maps seem to show fragments of District 2 extending from District 1.

For Plan 1B2 the easternmost portion of District 1 may also not be correctly represented. The maps also seem to show fragments of District 2 extending from District 1.

Plans 2B1 and 2B2, the easternmost portion of District 7 may not be correctly represented. The maps seem to show fragments of Districts 1 and 2 extending from District 7.

For Plan 2C1 the easternmost portions of Districts 2 and 7 may not be correctly represented. The maps seem to show fragments of District 1 extending from both

Districts 2 and 7. The northernmost portion of District 2 may not be correctly represented; if part of northernmost Santa Clara County north of District 2 is shown as being in another district; this would not be correct; this area should be in District 2, provided that is in the area of the SCVOSA.

For Plan 2C2 the easternmost portion of District 7 may not be correctly represented. The maps seem to show fragments of Districts 1 and 2 extending from District 7.

For Plans 2E1, 2E2, 3A1, and 3A2 the easternmost portion of Districts 2 and 7 may not be correctly represented. The maps seem to show fragments of District 1 extending from Districts 2 and 7.

For Plan 2C1, 2E1, 2E2, 3A1, and 3A2 maps at northernmost Santa Clara County fragments of another district may extend north of District 2. These fragments should be in District 2, provided that they are within the area of the SCVOSA.

Please correct the maps and the PDF files for the ten plans so that they reflect the actual plans.

This may at times require utilizing the applicable CSV file.

I should mention that the files which I submitted may not have the correct outer boundaries of the SCVOSA's area.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Nakamura

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Andrea Mackenzie](#); [Matt Freeman](#); [Lea Rauscher](#); [Marc Landgraf](#); [Derek Neumann](#); [Donna Plunkett](#); [Alex Kennett](#); [Mike Flaugh](#); [Helen Chapman](#); [Dorsey Moore](#); [Vicki Alexander](#); [Mike Potter](#); [Kalvin Gill](#); [Clerk DL](#); [Kellie Guerra](#); [Caroline Hernandez](#); [Kimi Shigetani](#); [Chris Chaffee](#); [cskinnell@nmgovlaw.com](mailto:cskinnell@nmgovlaw.com)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Problem with the Districtr SCVOSA District Drawing Webpage  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 6, 2022 8:31:44 PM  
**Attachments:** [Districtr, Map #1.pdf](#)  
[Districtr, Map #2.pdf](#)  
[Districtr, Map #3.pdf](#)  
[Districtr, Map #4.pdf](#)

---

Dear Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority Leadership Team Members, Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority Board Members, and Deputy Clerk of the Board Caroline Hernandez:

There seems to be a problem with the Districtr SCVOSA district drawing webpage ([https://districtr.org/plan?event=open\\_space\\_authority](https://districtr.org/plan?event=open_space_authority)). If while creating a district with brush size #1, one clicks on only the most northeast possibility, it seems that the subsequently highlighted areas are not all continuous (See the blue areas of attached maps #1 and #2.). Creating a different district and selecting only one specific component with brush size #1 also seems to yield non-contiguous highlighted areas (See the yellow areas of attached maps #3 and #4).

If Redistricting Partners has uploaded my SCVOSA redistricting files to Districtr or to software with a similar map configuration, this may perhaps lead to non-contiguous appearing districts along easternmost Santa Clara County.

Sincerely,

James Nakamura

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Alex Kennett](#); [Mike Flaughner](#); [Helen Chapman](#); [Dorsey Moore](#); [Vicki Alexander](#); [Mike Potter](#); [Kalvin Gill](#); [Clerk DL](#); [Kellie Guerra](#); [Caroline Hernandez](#); [Kimi Shigetani](#); [Chris Chaffee](#); [cskinnell@nmgovlaw.com](mailto:cskinnell@nmgovlaw.com)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Question Regarding the Redistricting Process  
**Date:** Thursday, April 7, 2022 5:02:35 PM

---

Dear Deputy Clerk of the Board Caroline Hernandez:

I have a question regarding the redistricting process.

Quoting from an email sent earlier today:

*List of Map Errors (Not Necessarily a Complete List)*

*The maps for the ten plans all have block 2006 of census tract (CT) 5035.11 in District 7 rather than in District 6; block 2006 of CT 5035.11 should be in District 6, not in District 7. Plan 2C2 also has block 2007 of CT 5035.11 in District 7; this block should also be in District 6.*

*For nine of the ten plans (except for Plan 2C2) the block 2006 error mentioned above causes District 6 to be a non-continuous district.*

Because of the systematic error which has caused District 6 to be a non-continuous district with nine of the ten plans, would these nine plans be ineligible to be approved by the Board due to this non-continuous district factor?

I'm guessing that Mr. Skinnell and/or Mr. Chaffee would have an answer to this question.

If the answer is yes, the posted versions of my redistricting plans should be revised in a timely manner such that they adequately and accurately represent the boundaries of these plans among the seven proposed districts.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

James Nakamura



**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Alex Kennett](#); [Mike Flaughner](#); [Helen Chapman](#); [Dorsey Moore](#); [Vicki Alexander](#); [Mike Potter](#); [Kalvin Gill](#); [Clerk DL](#); [Kellie Guerra](#); [Caroline Hernandez](#); [Kimi Shigetani](#); [Chris Chaffee](#)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** SCVOSA's Redistricting Draft Plan C3  
**Date:** Friday, April 8, 2022 8:01:17 AM

---

Dear Santa Clara Valley Open Space Authority Directors;

There have been previous requests that the Japantown neighborhood not be divided between two districts.

Unfortunately with Plan C3, as well as with previous Plans A, B, C, and C2, our neighborhood would be divided between Districts 3 and 6.

I am continuing to request that Japantown not be divided between two districts.

Thank you for your continued consideration.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Batchelder

Japantown Resident